

**From:** Andrew Morgan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 22 January 2022 11:30  
**To:** localreview  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** appeal 22/00001

CAUTION: External Email

Objection to the change of use from holiday accommodation to dwelling house.

From Andrew Morgan Neighbour and owner of the agricultural shed and the water rights.

1) As noted in the opening paragraphs of the appeal the house was designed as a home not a holiday let it was never meant to be a holiday let and application was always meant to be a home this appeal was always the aim of the applicant.

2)

I strongly object to the implication in paragraph 7 that i broke planning rules in the building of this shed the shed was built around 1990 may have been before may have been after it replaced an old pole barn with rusty tin roof it is 60ft by 30 ft which at that time did not require planning consent, the shed is used both for housing sheep when snowing at lambing time and for the rest of the year as sheep handling facilities it along with the concrete yard immediately adjacent to the proposed dwelling is used from around 5am in morning so that we are not handling sheep in the heat of the day there is always when handling noise form dogs and sheep and people. Also flies always around sheep in summer,it is not an intensive housing unit,

There is a big difference between the 5 metres from the shed that the proposed house will be and the 30 odd metres that the other houses are.

Paragraph 14 is regarding people occupying property in total ignorance i am sure the environment and planning authorities have heard of purchasers of properties near pubs and restaurants complain about noise and disturbance from said premises people can be ignorant? and have stars in there eyes when viewing a lovely sight in a sunny summers day when the sheep are munching quietly in the field.

You will i am sure note the passed objections to applications for building on this sight and that myself and the other neighbours have objected to any building on this sight consistently from the beginning it is an unsuitable sight for any habitation.

Yet again this year we had to move sheep from the fields on the supply from the tank supplying the houses because of water shortages.

finally if you accept this appeal all the neighbours will feel let down by the planing authority, what is the point in us continually having to object to multiple applications for the same thing?

Andrew Morgan

Newlands sunnyside Reston Eyemouth